### The Hartree-Fock Method

Giuseppe Accaputo

18. December, 2015

◆□▶ ◆舂▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - のへで 1/41

Problem Statement	First Quantization	Second Quantization	The Hartree-Fock Method	References 0

#### Overview

- 1. Problem Statement
- 2. First Quantization
- 3. Second Quantization
- 4. The Hartree-Fock Method
- 5. References

#### Motivation

The time-independent Schrödinger equation is defined as

$$\hat{H}\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = E\Psi(\mathbf{x})$$
 , (1)

where  $\hat{H}$  ist the Hamiltonian operator and E is the energy of the state  $\Psi$ 

 Find an approximate solution of the time-independent Schrödinger equation for a many-body system

## Examples of Many-Body Systems

- Multi-electron atoms
- Molecules



Second Quantization

The Hartree-Fock Method

#### The Molecular Hamiltonian Operator



## Born-Oppenheimer Approximation

- Nuclei of atoms are often much heavier than electrons
- Consider nuclei as stationary to calculate properties of the electrons
- Hamiltonian operator for electrons:



Giuseppe Accaputo

## Hilbert Space

- Single-body quantum system is described by a Hilbert space  ${\cal H}$  of dimension  $\dim {\cal H}=d$
- N distinguishable particles are described by tensor product of N single-body Hilbert spaces

$$\mathcal{H}^{(N)} \equiv \mathcal{H}^{\otimes N} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{H}$$
(4)

with

$$\dim \mathcal{H}^{(N)} = d^N \tag{5}$$

Giuseppe Accaputo

# Complexity of the Hilbert Space

- $d^N$  basis functions are needed to span the Hilbert space  ${\cal H}^{(N)}$
- Exponential scaling of the Hilbert space dimension with number of particles is a big challenge
  - Single fermion has a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^2$  with  $\dim\mathcal{H}=2$
  - N fermions have Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^{(N)}=\mathbb{C}^{2^N}$  with  $\dim\mathcal{H}^{(N)}=2^N$
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Basis for N=30 fermions is already of size  $2^{30},$  i.e., over one billion basis functions

## Many-Fermion System I

 Use Hartree product ansatz for many-particle wave function:

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_N) \approx \prod_{i=1}^N \phi_i(\mathbf{r}_i) \quad ,$$
 (6)

where  $\phi_i$  is a single-particle wave function

 Problem: Hartree product does not take into account antisymmetric properties of fermions, i.e.,

$$\hat{P}_{12}\,\psi(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) = \psi(\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_1) = \phi_1(\mathbf{r}_2)\phi_2(\mathbf{r}_1) \neq -\phi_1(\mathbf{r}_1)\phi_2(\mathbf{r}_2) \quad ,$$

where  $\hat{P}_{12}$  is the exchange operator

### Many-Fermion System II

 Solution: Fermionic wave function has to be antisymmetric under particle exchange, thus the antisymmetrized wave function is defined as

$$\Psi^{(A)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{p} \operatorname{sgn}(p) \psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{p(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{p(N)}\right)$$
(7)

- +  $1/\sqrt{N!}$  is the normalization factor
- Sum goes over all permutations p of N particles
- sgn(p) = +1 if p represents an even number of permutations, else sgn(p) = -1

#### Slater Determinant

• The many-fermion wave function in Eq. 7 can be written as a Slater determinant:

$$\Psi^{(A)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \begin{vmatrix} \phi_1(\mathbf{r}_1) & \dots & \phi_N(\mathbf{r}_1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \phi_1(\mathbf{r}_N) & \dots & \phi_N(\mathbf{r}_N) \end{vmatrix}$$
(8)

- $\blacktriangleright$  The set of antisymmetrized Slater determinants forms the basis of the many-particle Hilbert space  $H^{(N)}$
- Example: For a two-electron system we would have

$$\Psi^{(A)}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \phi_1(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi_2(\mathbf{r}_2) - \phi_1(\mathbf{r}_2) \phi_2(\mathbf{r}_1) \right] \quad (9)$$

## Restrictions of the First Quantization

- Cumbersome to work with appropriately symmetrized many-body wave functions
- $\blacktriangleright$  It is restricted to exactly N particles
  - Quantum field theory: systems with variable particle numbers
  - Solid state physics: infinite number of electrons

# Fock Space

•  $N = 0, 1, \ldots, \infty$  indistinguishable particles are described by the Fock space which is defined as

$$F(\mathcal{H}) = \bigoplus_{N=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_{\pm} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes N}$$
(10)

- ${\scriptstyle \scriptstyle \bullet } {\scriptstyle \scriptstyle } {\cal S}_{+}$  is the symmetrization operator used for bosons
- ${\scriptstyle \blacktriangleright}$   ${\cal S}_{-}$  is the anti-symmetrization operator used for fermions

## The Occupation Number Basis I

- Let  $\{|\phi_1\rangle,\ldots,|\phi_L\rangle\}$  be the basis of the single-particle Hilbert space  ${\cal H}$
- The Fock space basis consists of states (called Fock states) constructed by specifying the number of particles  $N_{\alpha}$  occupying the single-particle state  $|\phi_{\alpha}\rangle$ , i.e.,

$$|N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_L
angle$$
 (11)

• For fermions:  $N_{\alpha} \in \{0, 1\}$  (Pauli exclusion principle)

#### The Occupation Number Basis II

 $\blacktriangleright$  Fock space is the space of all occupation number states for all particle numbers N

N particles	Fermionic basis states
0	$ 0,0,0,\ldots angle$
1	$ 1,0,0,\ldots\rangle, 0,1,0,\ldots\rangle, 0,0,1,\ldots\rangle,\ldots$
2	$ 1,1,0,\ldots\rangle, 0,1,1,\ldots\rangle, 1,0,1,\ldots\rangle,\ldots$

# Fermion Creation Operator $\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\alpha}$ I

- In Fock space, the fermion creation operator  $\hat{a}^\dagger_\alpha$  for the single-particle state  $|\phi\rangle_\alpha$  is introduced
- $\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\alpha}$  increases the occupation number of  $N_{\alpha}$  by 1 if  $N_{\alpha}=0,$  e.g.,

$$\hat{a}_{2}^{\dagger} |1, 0, 0, \ldots \rangle = |1, 1, 0, \ldots \rangle$$
 (12)

 Fermion creation in a single-particle state that is already occupied destroys the state, e.g.,

$$\hat{a}_{1}^{\dagger} |1, 1, 0, \ldots \rangle = 0$$
 (13)

# Fermion Creation Operator $\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\alpha}$ II

Fermion creation operators have to anticommute, meaning

$$\left\{\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\alpha},\,\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\beta}\right\} = 0 \tag{14}$$

• Eq. 14 is needed, since Fock states are antisymmetric under interchange of pairs of fermions, i.e.,

$$\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\alpha}\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\beta}\left|0
ight
angle=-\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\beta}\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\alpha}\left|0
ight
angle$$
 (15)

 Further, Eq. 14 ensures that a state is destroyed if one tries to create a fermion in an already occupied state, since

$$\left(\hat{a}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}\right)^{2} = 0 \tag{16}$$

### Fock Basis in Second Quantization

► The Fock state |N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>,..., N<sub>L</sub>⟩ in the occupation number basis can be expressed in terms of creation operators:

$$|N_1, N_2, \dots, N_L\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^L \left(a_i^{\dagger}\right)^{N_i}$$
$$= \left(a_1^{\dagger}\right)^{N_1} \left(a_2^{\dagger}\right)^{N_2} \cdots \left(a_L^{\dagger}\right)^{N_L} |0\rangle \quad (17)$$

- Fermion creation operators anticommute, thus ordering of the operators matters
- The normal ordering that is used from now on is defined by Eq. 17

## Fermion Annihilation Operator $\hat{a}_{\alpha}$

- Fermion annihilation operator  $\hat{a}_{\alpha}$  decreases the occupation number of  $N_{\alpha}$  by 1 if  $N_{\alpha}=1,$  e.g.,

$$\hat{a}_1 |1, 0, 0, \ldots \rangle = |0, 0, 0, \ldots \rangle$$
 (18)

 Fermion annihilation in a single-particle state that is not occupied destroys the state, e.g.,

$$\hat{a}_2 |0, 0, 0, \ldots \rangle = 0$$
 (19)

Fermion annihilation operators anticommute, i.e.,

$$\{\hat{a}_{\alpha}, \hat{a}_{\beta}\} = 0 \tag{20}$$

# Definition of $\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{lpha}$ and $\hat{a}_{lpha}$ I

$$\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\alpha} | N_1, N_2, \dots, N_{\alpha}, \dots \rangle = \delta_{N_{\alpha}0} (-1)^{S_{\alpha}} | N_1, N_2, \dots, N_{\alpha} + 1, \dots \rangle \tag{21}$$

$$\hat{a}_{\alpha} | N_1, N_2, \dots, N_{\alpha}, \dots \rangle = \delta_{N_{\alpha}1} (-1)^{S_{\alpha}} | N_1, N_2, \dots, N_{\alpha} - 1, \dots \rangle \tag{22}$$

where

$$S_{\alpha} \equiv \sum_{\gamma < \alpha} N_{\gamma} \tag{23}$$

and  $\delta_{ij} = 1$  if i = j and 0 otherwise

Giuseppe Accaputo

# Definition of $\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{lpha}$ and $\hat{a}_{lpha}$ II

From Eqs. 21 and 22 it follows that the antisymmetric property of fermions is fulfilled, since

$$\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\alpha}\hat{a}_{\beta} = -\hat{a}_{\beta}\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\alpha} \qquad (\alpha \neq \beta)$$
(24)

Giuseppe Accaputo The Hartree-Fock Method

Problem Statement	First Quantization	Second Quantization	The Hartree-Fock Method	References
		000000000000000000000000000000000000000		

#### One-Particle Operators I

- One-particle operator  $\hat{V}^{(1)}$  consists of a sum of N identical operators  $\hat{V}_i$  acting only on the Hilbert space of the *i*-th electron

$$\hat{V}^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{V}_i$$
(25)

Example: the kinetic energy operator defined as

$$\hat{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{p}_i^2}{2m_i}$$
(26)

Problem Statement	First Quantization	Second Quantization	The Hartree-Fock Method	References
		00000000000000000		

#### **One-Particle Operators II**

•  $\hat{V}_i$  operating on a one-electron wave function  $\phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}_i, s_i)$  it produces a superposition of one-electron wave functions

$$\hat{V}_i \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}_i, s_i) = \sum_\beta V_{\beta\alpha} \phi_\beta(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{s}_i)$$
(27)

with the amplitudes

$$V_{\beta\alpha} \equiv \langle i, \beta | \hat{V}_i | i, \alpha \rangle \tag{28}$$

Giuseppe Accaputo The Hartree-Fock Method

## **One-Particle Operators III**

 $\blacktriangleright$  Applying  $\hat{V}^{(1)}$  on a Fock state a superposition of states is generated

$$\hat{V}^{(1)} |\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_L\rangle \tag{29}$$

$$=\sum_{\beta}\sum_{i=1}^{L}V_{\beta\alpha_{i}}|\alpha_{1},\ldots,\alpha_{i}\rightarrow\beta,\ldots,\alpha_{L}\rangle$$
(30)

•  $|\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i \to \beta, \ldots, \alpha_L \rangle$  denotes the state obtained from  $|\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i, \ldots, \alpha_L \rangle$  upon replacing  $\phi_{\alpha_i}$  by  $\phi_{\beta}$ 

Giuseppe Accaputo

Problem Statement	First Quantization	Second Quantization	The Hartree-Fock Method	References
		000000000000000000000000000000000000000		

## One-Particle Operators IV

- The one-particle operator  $\hat{V}^{(1)}$  can now be expressed as

$$\hat{V}^{(1)} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} V_{\beta\alpha} \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\beta} \hat{a}_{\alpha}$$
(32)

Giuseppe Accaputo The Hartree-Fock Method

### Two-Particle Operators I

- Two-particle operator  $\hat{V}^{(2)}$  consists of a sum of N identical operators  $\hat{V}_{ij}$  acting on the Hilbert spaces of two electrons

$$\hat{V}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \hat{V}_{ij}$$
(33)

Example: Coulomb interaction term

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|} \tag{34}$$

## Two-Particle Operators II

- Analogous to the derivation shown for the one-particle operator, one obtains for the two-particle operator  $\hat{V}^{(2)}$ 

$$\hat{V}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} V_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\alpha} \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\beta} \hat{a}_{\gamma} \hat{a}_{\delta}$$
(35)

with the amplitudes

$$V_{\gamma\delta\alpha\beta} \equiv \left( \langle i, \gamma | \langle j, \delta | \rangle \hat{V}_{ij}(|j, \alpha\rangle | i, \beta\rangle \right)$$
(36)

## Hamilton Operator in Second Quantized Notation I

- Use basis set of L orbital wave functions  $\{f_i\}$
- The matrix elements of  $\hat{H}_{\mathsf{el}}$  (Eq. 3) are defined as

$$t_{ij} = \int d^3 \mathbf{r} f_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{r}_i) \right) f_j(\mathbf{r})$$
(37)  
$$V_{ijkl} = e^2 \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \int d^3 \mathbf{r}' f_i^*(\mathbf{r}) f_j(\mathbf{r}) \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} f_k^*(\mathbf{r}') f_l(\mathbf{r}')$$
(38)

where  $t_{ij} \mbox{ is a one-particle and } V_{ijkl} \mbox{ a two-particle operator, and}$ 

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \sum_{m=1}^{K} \frac{Z_m e^2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_m|}$$
(39)

Problem Statement	First Quantization	Second Quantization	The Hartree-Fock Method	References 0

#### Hamilton Operator in Second Quantized Notation II

+  $\hat{H}_{\rm el}$  can now be written in second quantized notation as

$$\hat{H}_{\mathsf{el}} = \sum_{ij\sigma} t_{ij} \hat{a}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{j\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl\sigma\sigma'} V_{ijkl} \hat{a}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{k\sigma'}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i\sigma'} \hat{a}_{j\sigma} \qquad (40)$$

Giuseppe Accaputo The Hartree-Fock Method

## The Hartree-Fock Approximation

- Approximation is based on the assumption of independent electrons
- $\blacktriangleright$   $N\text{-}{\rm fermion}$  ground state wave function is represented as a single Slater determinant

.

$$\Psi^{(\mathsf{HF})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \begin{vmatrix} \phi_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \sigma_1) & \dots & \phi_N(\mathbf{r}_1, \sigma_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \phi_1(\mathbf{r}_N, \sigma_N) & \dots & \phi_N(\mathbf{r}_N, \sigma_N) \end{vmatrix}$$
(41)

## The Hartree-Fock Equations I

- Closed-shell conditions are assumed, i.e., each orbital is occupied by both an electron with spin ↑ and spin ↓
- +  $\Psi^{(\mathsf{HF})}$  (Eq. 41) in second quantized form:

$$\left|\Psi\right\rangle^{(\mathsf{HF})} = \prod_{\mu,\sigma} c^{\dagger}_{\mu\sigma} \left|0\right\rangle \tag{42}$$

where  $c^{\dagger}_{\mu\sigma}$  is orthogonal and creates an electron in the orbital  $\phi_{\mu}({\bf r},\sigma)$ 

#### The Hartree-Fock Equations II

•  $c^{\dagger}_{\mu\sigma}$  is expanded in terms of creation operators  $\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{n\sigma}$  of our finite basis set:

$$c_{\mu\sigma}^{\dagger} = \sum_{n=1}^{L} d_{\mu n} \hat{a}_{n\sigma}^{\dagger}$$
(43)

Giuseppe Accaputo The Hartree-Fock Method

Problem Statement	First Quantization	Second Quantization	The Hartree-Fock Method	References
			000000000	

#### The Hartree-Fock Equations II

Bond-order matrix is introduced:

$$P_{ij} = \sum_{\sigma} \langle \Psi^{(\mathsf{HF})} | \hat{a}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{j\sigma} | \Psi^{(\mathsf{HF})} \rangle = 2 \sum_{\nu} d_{\nu i}^{*} d_{\nu j} \qquad (44)$$

• The kinetic term of  $\hat{H}_{\rm el}$  is simplified to

$$\sum_{ij\sigma} t_{ij} \hat{a}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{j\sigma} = \sum_{ij} P_{ij} t_{ij}$$
(45)

#### The Hartree-Fock Equations III

The interaction term becomes

$$\langle \Psi^{(\mathsf{HF})} | \, a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{k\sigma'}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{l\sigma'} \hat{a}_{j\sigma} \, | \Psi^{(\mathsf{HF})} \rangle = \begin{cases} P_{ij} P_{kl} - P_{il} P_{kj}, & \sigma = \sigma' \\ P_{ij} P_{kl}, & \sigma \neq \sigma' \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{46}$$

- Thus, the interaction term of  $\hat{H}_{\rm el}$  simplfies to

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{ijkl}\left(V_{ijkl} - \frac{1}{2}V_{ilkj}\right)P_{ij}P_{kl}$$
(47)

## The Hartree-Fock Equations IV

Combining Eqs. 45 and 47 leads to the energy term

$$E^{(\mathsf{HF})} = \langle \Psi^{(\mathsf{HF})} | H |^{(\mathsf{HF})} \rangle$$
  
=  $\sum_{ij} P_{ij} t_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl} \left( V_{ijkl} - \frac{1}{2} V_{ilkj} \right) P_{ij} P_{kl}$  (48)

## The Hartree-Fock Equations V

 $\blacktriangleright$  Minimize  $E^{\rm (HF)}$  under the condition that the states  $|\phi\rangle_{\!\mu}$  are normalized:

$$1 = \langle \phi_{\mu} | \phi_{\mu} \rangle = \sum_{i,j} d^*_{\mu i} d_{\mu j} S_{ij}$$
(49)

with the overlap matrix  $\underline{\mathbf{S}}$  defined as

$$S_{ij} = \int d^3 \mathbf{r} f_i^*(\mathbf{r}) f_j(\mathbf{r}) ap$$
(50)

+  $\underline{\mathbf{S}}$  is the identity matrix for an orthonormal basis set

Giuseppe Accaputo



### The Hartree-Fock Equations VI

 Introduce Lagrange multipliers to enforce the constraint we have to minimize

$$\sum_{ij} P_{ij} t_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl} \left( V_{ijkl} - \frac{1}{2} V_{ilkj} \right) P_{ij} P_{kl} - \sum_{\mu} \epsilon_{\mu} \sum_{i,j} d_{\mu i}^* d_{\mu j} S_{ij}$$
(51)



#### The Hartree-Fock Equations VII

Set the derivative with respect to d<sub>µi</sub> to zero to get the Hartree-Fock equations for a finite basis set:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{L} (f_{ij} - \epsilon_{\mu} S_{ij}) d_{\mu j} = 0$$
 (52)

with

$$f_{ij} = t_{ij} + \sum_{kl} \left( V_{ijkl} - \frac{1}{2} V_{ilkj} \right) P_{kl}$$
(53)

# The Hartree-Fock Equations VIII

 Eq. 52 is a nonlinear generalized eigenvalue problem of the form

$$\underline{\mathbf{F}}[\mathbf{x}]\mathbf{x} = \lambda \underline{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{x}$$
(54)

where  $\underline{F}$  is the potential matrix and  $\underline{S}$  is the overlap matrix

 $\blacktriangleright$  Eq. 54 can only be solved iteratively until convergence to a fixed point is achieved, since  $\underline{F}$  depends on the solution x

### The Hartree-Fock Equations IX

 $\blacktriangleright$  The ground state energy  $E^0$  can be found using

$$E_{0} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{N} \epsilon_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl} \left( V_{ijkl} - \frac{1}{2} V_{ilkj} \right) P_{ij} P_{kl}$$
(55)

• The second term in Eq. 55 has to be subtracted, since the two-electron integrals are counted double

## References

- Thijssen, Jos. Computational Physics, Cambridge university press, 2007
- Giuliani, Gabriele, and Giovanni Vignale. Quantum theory of the electron liquid, Cambridge university press, 2005
- Troyer, Matthias. Computational quantum physics, University lecture, 2015
- Esslinger, Tilman. Experimental and theoretical aspects of quantum gases, University lecture, 2014
- Moore, Michael. Phy851, University lecture, 2009